

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 SUE MARCELLA HAMBY,
10 Petitioner, No. CIV S-97-0164 LKK DAD P
11 vs.
12 TINA FARMON,
13 Respondent.
14 _____/
15 ROBERT M. FENENBOCK,
16 Petitioner, No. CIV S-97-1731 LKK DAD P
17 vs.
18 DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF
19 CORRECTIONS, et al.,
20 Respondents.
21 _____/
22 CHERRI LYNN FRAZIER,
23 Petitioner, No. CIV S-97-2196 LKK DAD P
24 vs.
25 TINA FARMON,
26 Respondent.
_____/

1 ROBERT LOREN BOND,

2 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-99-2150 LKK DAD P

3 vs.

4 RICHARD A. RIMMER, et al.,

5 Respondents.

6 _____/
7 BERNARD LEROY MACCARLIE,

8 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-00-1830 LKK DAD P

9 vs.

10 GAIL LEWIS, et al.,

11 Respondents.

ORDER

12 _____/
13 Petitioners' August 11, 2005 joint motion for leave to conduct further discovery
14 came before the court for hearing on September 9, 2005. Janice L. Lagerlof appeared for
15 petitioner Hamby; Jolie Lipsig appeared for petitioner Fenenbock; Daniel J. Broderick appeared
16 for petitioner Frazier; Lindsay Anne Weston appeared for petitioner Bond; and Margaret
17 Littlefield appeared telephonically for petitioner MacCarlie. Glenn R. Pruden appeared for all
18 respondents. For reasons stated on the record, petitioners withdrew their motion for leave to
19 subpoena all filed maintained by Richard Bay regarding Randy Hogrefe, subject to the filing of a
20 renewed or modified motion. The motion was dropped from the calendar.

21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioners' August 11, 2005
22 motions for leave to conduct further discovery are denied without prejudice.

23 DATED: September 9, 2005.

24 
25 _____
DALE A. DROZD
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:13
hamby5.Baydisc